The retractor muscle functioning as a retractor bulbi after the anterior portion has undergone degeneration.

Kingsley further states that the described apparatus is not in the proper location to be compared to the tentacular organ of the Gymnophiona. In elucidating this point it is of service to compare figure I with figure IV taken from Die Anatomie der Gymnophionen von Wiedersheim.

![Figure IV: Cross section of *Siphonops annulatus*.](image)

It is seen that the columnar-lined canal, inner tentacle sheath and outer tentacle sheath in *Siphonops* have the same relation as in *Amphiuma*. It is further seen that the inner sheath of *Siphonops* is involuted ventrally to surround the tentacle while in *Amphiuma* a similar involution is seen on the dorsal side in Fig. III. In both genera the organ is covered merely by the skin and its subjacent tissue. The glandular tissue is not shown in Fig. IV as the section is anterior to the orbital gland. It is true the maxillary bone overhangs the apparatus in *Siphonops* whereas such is not the case in *Amphiuma*. In behalf of this contrast I quote from Cope (Bulletin of the United States National Museum, No. 34, p. 214): "There is also a very large foramen or canal passing through the o. maxillare from near its middle to the orbit, foreshadowing the canalis tentaculiferus of the cecilia." Fig. I. is a section posterior to where the canal would enter the maxillary bone. Among the Gymnophiona there is considerable variation as to the relation of the apparatus to the maxillary bone as the following from Wiedersheim, p. 47 shows: "Sprengt man nun zum Behuf klarer Ein- sicht die Deckknochen auf der betreffenden Schadelhaften vollkommen ab, so wird man ein weissliches, walzenformiges Organ gewahr, wel-

PSYCHOLOGY.

Synaesthesia and Synopsia.—Until quite recently synaesthesia was regarded by psychologists generally as a purely artificial and fanciful association, or at best as a sign of degeneracy; it has lately received considerable attention, however, and the weight of evidence goes to show that it is both natural and normal—it may even be said, a phenomenon of common occurrence.

In an exhaustive monograph on the subject, published in 1893, Prof. Flournoy of Geneva for the first time introduced a terminology which aimed to distinguish scientifically between the different forms of synaesthesia. The most important phase is the association of visual images, or synopsia. Attention was first called to this by Fechner, in 1876.

Les phénomènes de la synopsie (audition colorée); by Th. Flournoy; Paris 1893; pp. 259.
Flournoy distinguishes here between photisms, diagrams and personification. The first of these is the *audition coloris* of earlier writers; it consists in the natural association of a color with each particular sound, so that a spoken word appears to the hearer to be tinged with one or more hues, corresponding to its constituent vowel sounds. A diagram is a visual scheme in which some natural series of ideas (such as the months, days of the week, numbers, etc.), is arranged. When a member of the series is recalled, the appropriate part of the diagram is visualized. Personification is simply the attributing of some personal characteristic, such as sex, to a number, etc.; or the association with it of a feeling of like or dislike. Flournoy reports some 350 persons as possessing synopsia in one or other of its forms, out of 2600 to whom questions were addressed, (13 per cent.); but as a large portion of his question-sheets were never returned, the real percentage may be regarded as somewhat greater.

In a recent paper, Miss Calkins gives the results of a personal canvass of Wellesley students in 1893 and 1894. For the former year the affirmative answers numbered 33 per cent., for the latter 60 percent. It may be doubted whether all the latter are true cases of synopsia. Yet when due allowance is made for possible temporary associations, it must still be admitted that synopsia is by no means a rare phenomenon.

Richard Hennig gives an interesting study of the diagram-forms occurring in himself and his immediate family. He is able in a number of cases to trace their origin to certain associations of early childhood, and favors the *natural,* or experiential view of the origin of all such schemes. He strongly opposes the notion of inherited forms or photisms: Only two pair in the list given by Galton, he thinks, show any real resemblance, and these may well be accounted for by similarity of early environment. "Only the tendency to synopsia can be inherited; but here the influence of heredity is unmistakable and undoubted."

The writer points out a similarity between the number-form of himself and one brother brought up under the same surroundings, while in the case of another brother, whose early life was spent in another environment, the diagram was radically different. Herr Hennig urges the usefulness of the number-form as a mnemonic aid, and cites the case of a friend, who easily memorized dates by association with the appropriate places in his number-diagram.

J. Philippe has lately investigated the synopsia of blind persons, and finds a remarkable number of cases among them, though none occurred among those who were blind from birth.

With the reduction of synesthesia to a scientific basis, which Flournoy has brought about, and the demonstration of its wide-spread occurrence, comes the demand for a more thorough examination of its bearing upon other departments of psychology. The physiological interpretation of synopsia is still unsettled, and is commended to physiological psychologists as a fruitful theme for investigation.—H. C. Warren.

ANTHROPOLOGY.

Exploration by the University of Pennsylvania in West Florida.—Little more than a year ago my friend Lieutenant Colonel C. D. Durnford formerly of the English Army, returning northward from a journey in the West Indies and Florida brought with him the specimens of aboriginal rope and netting found in a mud bed near Marco, Florida described by him in the AMERICAN NATURALIST for November, 1895.

That he realized the importance of the digging done in the mud in April, 1895 by himself and Mr. Charles Wilkins of Rochester, New York, was shown by the fact that on reaching Philadelphia he made the effort at once to present the details of the discovery to archaeologists. As an original observer, a gatherer of inspiration from nature, coming generously to present us with unprecedented specimens and archaeological data of much value, discribing to myself and others the details of the discovery and stating his belief that the lagoon fringing islands near Marco were net-worked with artificial canals, and would disclose other and similar relic preserving mud deposits, to him belongs the honor of opening a new door for archaeology in the southeast.

The prompt recognition of the originality and value of this intelligence by Dr. William Pepper and his energetic action in cooperation with Mr. Stewart Culin, Director of the Department of Archaeology have resulted in the recent expedition of the University of Pennsylvania sent by Dr. Pepper to Florida in the late months, under the direction of Mr. Frank Hamilton Cushing, whose fortunate presence in Philadelphia at the time of Colonel Durnford's visit ended in his employment by Dr. Pepper as Conductor of the Exploration. This led to the association of the Bureau of Ethnology of Washington of which...